

At the regular meeting of the Giles County Board of Supervisors on Wednesday, December 10, 2014, at 5:00 PM in the Giles County General District Courtroom, 120 North Main Street, Pearisburg, the following were present:

Barbara Hobbs	Chair (Central District)
Scott Dunn	Vice Chair (Western District)
Richard McCoy	At-Large Supervisor
Larry Jay Williams	Eastern District
Paul "Chappy" Baker	At-Large Supervisor
Chris McKlarney	County Administrator
Richard Chidester	County Attorney
Jenny Clay McCoy	Interim Board Secretary

### **CALL TO ORDER/INVOCATION**

Ms. Hobbs called the meeting to order. Mr. McKlarney had the invocation and led the Pledge of Allegiance.

Ms. Hobbs announced that citizens may sign up with the secretary to speak during the public comment section of the meeting.

### **VDOT REPORT – DAVID CLARKE**

Mr. Clarke began by discussing maintenance items. Potholes have been patched on the east bound ramp on Route 460. They are going to evaluate and likely have to do a complete restoration of the pavement in the area of east bound Route 460 in the Maybrook area. Mr. Clarke stated that the shoulder on Brickyard Road and Route 635 toward the Lime Plant had been worked on. They are ordering cattle signs for Dry Branch Road. He advised that they are still working with the inmates on brush cutting when the weather allows. VDOT is aware of the soft edges on State Line Road, but is Mr. Clarke is not certain if the last half mile of State Line Road is on the schedule for overlay in 2015. Mr. Clarke advised that they had looked at the drainage on Spring Street but did not see any issues; they are going to further investigate. Mr. Dunn stated that he thought that VDOT was going to move the drain pipe. Mr. Clarke related that the clean-up underneath the low level bridge on Patteson Ford was completed. He advised that one lane of the bridge closure had been taken down and the other would be complete on December 12, 2014.

Mr. Baker related that there was brush that needed to be removed on Sinking Creek Road and pot-hole repair west bound on Route 460 around Celanese and the Wellness Center. As well, north bound on Route 100 needs shoulder repair.

Mr. Williams asked about the status of speed studies that have been requested. Mr. Clarke stated that the speed study on Route 730 was completed and it does not recommend posting for a single speed limit along the entire length of road because it would require blanketing the entire road with warning markings. Mr. Clarke offered to have the traffic engineer come out and discuss specific target areas in that location that could possibly be signed. Mr. Williams

would like to discuss this further, Mr. Clarke agreed to follow-up with Mr. McKlarney. Mr. Williams stated that there needed to be shoulder work on Route 460 near the Montgomery County line in the Newport area and Brush Mountain. Mr. Williams related that he was still receiving complaints about the shoulder on Spruce Run Road, specifically Greenbrier Ridge. Mr. Williams requested brush cutting along Clover Hollow.

Mr. Dunn and Mr. Clarke discussed Penvir Bridge. Mr. Clarke advised that the bridge engineer would like to see a cost differentiation. He added that he did not see an issue with the county continuing to pursue a pre-fab, truss bridge. Mr. McKlarney explained that a traditional concrete bridge is approximately two times the cost of the truss bridge. Ms. Hobbs asked if the engineers were asking the county to get the estimates or will they get them themselves. Mr. Clarke explained that the engineers only wanted to see the differentiation; and because in general this types of bridges aren't the most desirable than an exemption will have to be requested. Mr. Clarke stated that it was as simple as explaining why the County would be using that type of bridge. Mr. McKlarney will send the explanation and the cost comparison and VDOT will complete the variance letter.

Mr. Dunn requested pot-hole repair on State Line Road and Route 61 through the town of Narrows, specifically Princeton Lane and the bridge past Old Wolf Creek Road; Mr. Clarke advised that it is on the schedule for next year; they will be updating the curb ramping as well. Ms. Hobbs advised that the road previously known as Landfill Road is essentially gone. Mr. McKlarney advised Mr. Clarke that he would send him the specific route number.

Ms. Hobbs announced that there were citizens in attendance that would like to speak while VDOT was present.

Cedella Pitzer – Ms. Pitzer inquired what work was being done on Route 100 and Route 42 around Poplar Hill, and if there was a map available. Mr. Clarke explained that it was a safety project that included shoulder work, tree removal, and new guardrail; all of the work being done is in the current right of way. Ms. Pitzer expressed concern about a 100 year old tree and rock wall that is located in the right of way. To better explain the work being done Mr. Clarke agreed to get Ms. Pitzers contact information and put her in touch with the project inspector.

Ernie Miller – Mr. Miller asked Mr. Clarke discussed the completion date for Ripplemead bridge. Mr. Miller expressed his concern over the size of tractor trailers on White Pine Road, asking Mr. Clarke if there were in any restrictions. Mr. Clarke does not believe there are any. Mr. Miller and Mr. Clarke discussed if and what type of restrictions could be put into place. Mr. Clarke explained that a public hearing process would be required to prohibit trucks from using a road. Mr. Miller also has concerns about the ditch washing out on Old Church Road and running onto his elderly mother-in-laws property. Regarding Route 100, Mr. Miller asked if there was going to be any rock moved around the more dangerous curves. Mr. Clarke was unsure, stating that it may just be shoulder work and guardrails.

Mr. Baker said the northbound area needed to be addressed and the majority of the money for the safety improvement project in that area needed to be focused there. Mr. Miller expressed concerns over how the money for this area will be spent and the speed limit on

Route 100. Ms. Hobbs asked Mr. Clarke what could be done to make VDOT look at reducing the speed limit on Route 100. Ms. Hobbs stated that the speed limit should be reduced to forty miles per hour. Mr. Clarke advised that he can request another speed study and convey that the County would like the speed limit reduced. Mr. Miller related that there had been seven fatalities in seven years, on a one mile stretch of the road.

Mr. Dunn and Mr. Clarke discussed the requirements of an STAA (Surface Transportation Assistance Act) road. Mr. Dunn stated that he did not believe that the two lane portion of Route 100 was STAA approved; anything over sixty-five feet is in violation. Mr. Dunn asked what entity determines if a road is STAA approved and what improvements to the road are necessary.

Mr. Miller asked Mr. Clarke how long debris is allowed to stay in the road and along the ditch line. Mr. Clarke stated that there was not a set time. Mr. Miller expressed issues about where cut brush is being left and how long on Routes 100 and 42.

Ms. Hobbs requested that the intersection of Wilburn Valley Road and Route 100 be marked more clearly to prevent drivers from going into the wrong lane. Mr. Clarke related that it was his understanding that new markings had been put into place. Mr. Baker stated that more signage was needed because drivers are still going the wrong way.

Teresa Guynn – Ms. Guynn expressed frustration about VDOT run-off onto her mother's property around North and Federal Street in Rich Creek. She explains that the water has caused property damage. Mr. Clarke is aware and has been in communication with Ms. Guynn. Ms. Guynn provided pictures for the Supervisors to review. She related that there is not currently a right of way or easement between VDOT and her mother. Ms. Guynn advised that her family had lived there since 1974 and have routinely maintained the ditch.

Mr. Dunn asked Ms. Guynn if the problem was coming from a culvert on Federal Street being stopped up. Ms. Guynn explained that the drain on Federal Street, at the rear of her mother's property, is catching all of the water on Federal Street which then flows onto her mother's property. Mr. Dunn asked Mr. Clarke if an easement was granted would VDOT fix the problem. Mr. Clarke said if an easement was given then VDOT could legally maintain. Ms. Guynn stated that they will not give an uncompensated easement because the culvert on North Street is not maintained. Ms. Hobbs reiterated that VDOT cannot fix the problem without an easement. Ms. Guynn advised that they will only give an easement if it is for piping and seeding that alleviates water from coming onto her mother's property. Mr. Williams and Mr. Clarke discussed possible remedies. Ms. Guynn and Supervisors discussed the terms of an easement.

Ms. Guynn asked when the work will be done. Mr. Clarke advised that VDOT will look at what will be required to pipe it and the cost will come from the County's secondary construction fund. Mr. Clarke explained that there will be surveying and a drainage review to determine the volume of water and the size of pipe that is needed. Ms. Guynn again asked how long the process will take; Mr. Clarke stated that he was unsure. Ms. Hobbs advised that it is a process that takes time.

## **FY 2016 REVENUE SHARING FUNDS RESOLUTION**

Mr. McKlarney advised that a motion was needed to pass the FY 2016 Revenue Sharing Funds Resolution.

***Mr. Williams moved to pass the FY 2016 Revenue Sharing Funds Resolution as presented. Second by Mr. McCoy. Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. Williams, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Mr. Dunn.***

A copy of the resolution is included at the end of these minutes.

Mr. McKlarney related that VDOT needs a letter from him stating that the County is going to include a Capital Road Plan. This plan and letter is needed by the beginning of 2015.

Mr. Williams and Mr. Clarke discussed the future process of new projects. Mr. Clarke confirmed that the County will maintain the secondary road plan and revenue sharing and the Planning District will submit all others.

## **SCHOOL BOARD REPORT – TERRY ARBOGAST**

Dr. Arbogast reported enrollment through November at 2,446, which is an increase of nine from October 31<sup>st</sup>, but a decrease of four from the same time last year. He provided an activity calendar for the month of December. Dr. Arbogast reported that on December 17<sup>th</sup> there will be an updated revenue forecast from the Governor's office, as well as the budget information for school divisions. He stated that the financial forecast is bleak and the revenue projections are not improving as quickly as anticipated.

Dr. Arbogast reported unofficial SOL results from Narrows High School. Ms. Hobbs asked if the SOL were going to become more livable. Dr. Arbogast related that five areas have been eliminated and there is a committee reviewing other areas.

Dr. Arbogast stated that Mr. Whitehead had received the Quarter Century Award in recognition of 25 years of service on the school board. Dr. Arbogast advised that Virginia was awarded the 17.5 million dollar Federal Preschool Expansion Grant. Giles County is one of eleven school divisions that will be participating in the grant. It is a first year grant with the potential for funding three years after that. The program is known as VPI plus and is an expansion on what is offered now. Currently there are two four year old preschool programs, one at Macy and Narrows. This grant allows the expansion of those programs and/or to enhance the established programs with additional staff, etc. Ms. Hobbs asked what happens when the grant funding is gone. Dr. Arbogast stated that it could be an additional cost to maintain if the grant money goes away.

Mr. Williams asked if the plan for the Ag Lab was approved. Dr. Arbogast reported that the School Board approved the plan submitted by Extension. Mr. Williams requested that Mr. McKlarney draft a letter on behalf of the Board to the Giles High School football team, coach Williams, and staff expressing their pride in their efforts this season.

## **PUBLIC COMMENTS**

Vickie Lafon – Regarding the Mountain Valley Pipeline, Ms. Lafon asked that each Supervisor tell her their decision in allowing the pipeline to enter Giles County. Ms. Hobbs advised that the Board will be considering a Resolution as the next order of business.

David Brady – Mr. Brady related that he was there on behalf of the Greater Newport Rural Historic District. Mr. Brady stated that the Historic District as reviewed the properties that have an immediate effect based on the current route. He listed the historical properties that have the potential to be impacted. Mr. Brady reported that they have been in contact with the State Historic Preservation Officer and they have asked for the historic state evaluation process to begin. Mr. Brady stated that he would be providing Mr. McKlarney with a letter stating this information and requests that the Board of Supervisors endorse the Greater Newport Rural Historic District as a consulting member.

Mr. Brady related that as a private citizen he has reviewed MVP's reports and expressed concerns regarding proper identification of roads, etc.; he will provide the corrected list to Mr. McKlarney. Mr. Brady stated that the committee asked that MVP study an alternative that would not cross the Greater Newport Rural Historic District and the North Fork Roanoke River Valley Historic District. Mr. Brady advised that although there has been a study it was done in a prejudicial manner and is unacceptable. The committee will be requesting a variation. Mr. Brady expressed concerns over the company's forthrightness because of the way that the alternative route was evaluated.

Mr. Brady explained that he was also there on behalf of the Newport Ruritan Club. The Ruritan Club is evaluating whether or not, in accordance with Department of Transportation specifications, the Newport Park constitutes something called a "high consequence area". Mr. Brady explained that means that it is within the blast radius of the line. If the Newport Park meets certain criteria then it would require MVP to build the line to much higher standards, they have to establish an Integrity Maintenance Plan, and possible other requirements from FERC. Mr. Brady asks that once the data is assembled if Mr. McKlarney will review the information and make any necessary filings if it is believed that it meets the criteria for the "high consequence area". Mr. Brady added, as a private citizen, that the Big Stoney United Methodist Church should be looked at because it is also in the blast radius area of the current route.

Butch Heillg – Mr. Heillg had planned to give his time to Mr. Brady.

Rick Shingles – Mr. Shingles stated that he was there as an impacted property owner and thanked the Board for considering the Resolution. Mr. Shingles expressed concern that the proposed route would negatively affect his way of life and impact the esthetics of the views around his property. It is his opinion that it is a route planned by a sadist. Mr. Shingles expressed concern over the slope of the line coming into the hollow near his home. He worries that the force of gravity and the erosion of the pipes will lead to cracks in the pipes resulting in disaster.

Vince Baranauskas – Mr. Baranauskas stated that he was a Blacksburg resident that works at NanoSonic and has a background in synthetic chemistry. He states that through his background he has looked into the corrosion issues of natural gas pipelines and MVP's

claims about materials are not accurate. He explains that the materials are a commodity epoxy systems that have been around for decades and aren't very good. Mr. Baranauskas explained the complex issues associated with corrosion. He related that the longevity of a pipe is often determined by the location because it is a complex, dynamic environment with many variables. He also expressed concern about the defects that occur to the pipe during installation. Mr. Baranauskas said historically, a great deal of disasters associated with these types of lines have been corrosion related. He expressed concerns that the terrain of the County could make inevitable corrosion worse.

Tom Hoffman – Mr. Hoffman inquired about how prepared the County was in the event that there is a disaster associated with the pipeline. He expressed concern over the pipe size being proposed. Mr. Hoffman is concerned that the County's emergency services are not equipped to handle a major incident that could occur. Mr. Hoffman stated that in Pennsylvania, EQT had criminal charges over a leaking waste water impoundment.

Ms. Hobbs advised Mr. Hoffman that the County has eight volunteer fire departments, two rescue squads, a paid ambulance service, a company that has their own fire and rescue department, and an up to date emergency services plan that has the County prepared for most things. Mr. Dunn related that he understands Mr. Hoffman's concerns, adding that any locality would have an issue dealing with a major disaster as Mr. Hoffman described.

David Walker – Mr. Walker wanted to inform the Board of some things that was in the document that MVP sent to FERC. He expressed concern over the land that will be needed for staging. He stated that if the Board comes out against the pipeline it would be a win situation for everyone and sends a message to MVP.

## **MOUNTAIN VALLEY GAS PIPELINE RESOLUTION**

Mr. McKlarney and Board discussed the wording of the Resolution, focusing on the proposed route. Mr. McKlarney recommended adding the proximity to Eastern Elementary. Ms. Hobbs agreed that the proximity of Eastern Elementary needed to be included. A constituent asked that Mr. McKlarney read the Resolution in its entirety to the crowd, Mr. McKlarney did so.

***Mr. McCoy moved to approve the Resolution with the addition of the proximity to Eastern Elementary Middle School. Second by Mr. Baker. Approved unanimously by roll call vote – Voting YES: Mr. Dunn, Mr. Williams, Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Ms. Hobbs***

A copy of the resolution is included at the end of these minutes.

## **PUBLIC SAFETY RADIO SYSTEM PROPOSAL – MOTOROLA**

Mr. McKlarney explained that the County has been working with Motorola to create a proposal to upgrade the entire radio system. Ms. Hobbs asked Mr. McKlarney if the tower placement in place now provided adequate coverage. Mr. McKlarney stated that while the system is better than it has been in the past, there is still a need for more coverage. He related that this proposal includes a tower site addition and increases coverage by approximately 8% on mobiles and 18% on portables. He related that there would still be

areas without coverage. Mr. McKlarney explained that it would cost multi-million dollars to cover the entire County. Ms. Hobbs asked if this proposal covered Route 100 and 42. Mr. McKlarney advised that it covers Route 100 very well. Routes 42 and 61 would have better coverage, but there would still be some issues; as well there is an issue in the Bluffs between Rich Creek and Narrows that exists.

Mr. McKlarney stated that it was an extensive upgrade and introduced Mr. Jeremy Thomas, Account Manager for Motorola Solutions, Inc. and his team. Mr. Thomas related that Motorola has been working on the proposal for approximately 18 months; gathering information from the County and system users to determine what best meets the County's needs to provide a long term investment that can grow. Mr. Thomas did an overview of the current system. Mr. Thomas stated that it has been determined that lack of coverage and insufficient channel capacity are top concerns. He advised that the technology of the current system is not an interoperable standard and is proprietary. Mr. Thomas added that the current microwave system was a non-redundant configuration that could create potential problems at tower sites. The proposed system uses technology to create greater functionality and sites can be maintained remotely.

Mr. Thomas discussed the proposed design. He explained that they kept the three existing sites and added a fourth. The proposed system would replace the microwave with a redundant system. Motorola has designed a five channel system; two channels would be International Standard (Project 25) digital and the other three will be analog. The digital channels would be designated for law enforcement and the analog for fire and rescue. Mr. McKlarney explained that it was too costly to convert all five channels to digital, approximately \$30,000 per channel. Mr. Thomas discussed some of the improvements with the proposed system.

Mr. Thomas reviewed the core of the system. The new system would allow for an expansion from the three to five dispatch positions for both radio and 911; he added that the 911 will be "next generation 911". This allows the County to receive text messages, videos, and pictures through 911; it has already been mandated by the FCC that cellular carriers be able to provide this to localities. Mr. Thomas stated that grant dollars are focused on "next generation 911". Mr. Thomas explained that there are 113 radios included in the proposal for law enforcement; these radios can operate on digital and analog allowing law enforcement to communicate with fire and rescue. Mr. McKlarney explained that the 113 radios were for the deputies and all town officers. Ms. Hobbs and Mr. Baker discussed with Mr. McKlarney the potential for Town reimbursement.

Mr. Thomas discussed the enhancements to public safety coverage. He explained that the proposed equipment is industry standard, not proprietary; allowing the possibility of regional systems. Mr. Thomas stated that the features in the proposal are standard, but there are other features that can be added if desired. He related that this is a long term investment that can be built upon. Mr. Thomas reviewed the microwave system, noting that over a dozen separate tower sites were visited. The proposal shows a design that is public safety grade that is 99.999% reliable. Mr. Thomas related that there is not a straight shot from the Butt Mountain Tower to the new dispatch center location; however, there is a relay site in the Town of Pearisburg proposed. Mr. Thomas explained the radio system coverage. He

explained that adding the fourth tower site would create a 5.5% gain in coverage on mobile and almost 10% on portable for analog. Digital coverage gains are up to 8% on mobile and 18% on portable.

Mr. Thomas reviewed the project schedule. He advised that within the proposal there is training for all users as well as management. Motorola conducts a coverage test to show where there is coverage and where there is not. Mr. McCoy asked Mr. Thomas to introduce his team. In attendance was David Dipp with Motorola, Brian Haskin with Two-Way Radio, Sam Baker with Two-Way Radio, and Robert Adler with Motorola. Ms. Hobbs asked the cost of the proposal. Mr. McKlarney advised that the total for the proposed system was \$2,341,000. Ms. Hobbs asked the cost of the proposed public safety building. Mr. McKlarney related that the estimated cost was 3.8 million dollars for the proposed public safety building; he added that the \$2,341,000 for the radio system does not include the GPS option. Ms. Hobbs felt that the GPS was important; Mr. Dunn stated that it better assisted the dispatchers. Mr. McKlarney stated that there were contract related matters with Motorola that needed to be discussed in Executive Session, noting that there were incentives that were being offered. He explained that there is \$372,000 in cost savings being offered if the contract is completed by December 31<sup>st</sup>. Mr. Thomas explained the baseline discounts that are already present in the proposal, the \$372,000 in discounts is additional. Mr. McKlarney advised that the current proposal assumes that Motorola will do all off the site work (i.e. pads, concrete pads, etc.); however Mr. McKlarney believes that there are opportunities for the County to do some of the work themselves.

Ms. Hobbs asked if anyone had any questions. A volunteer fireman in attendance asked if the fire departments would incur any costs. Ms. Hobbs stated that it was unlikely that the fire departments would incur any costs. Mr. Dunn advised that the equipment that the departments already own will work with the proposed system. Supervisors discussed how the system would be paid for. Mr. McKlarney advised that the bank that provided the financing for the public safety building is interested. He explained that there would be approximately a \$150,000 increase in debt service per year for twenty years as a result of the radio system; this amount does not include the warranty costs. Mr. McKlarney related that current Motorola warranty costs were \$38,000; with the proposed system the warranty costs would increase approximately \$60,000 to \$80,000 per year. He explained that there are many levels of warranty. Supervisors, Mr. McKlarney, and Mr. Thomas discussed current costs versus proposed costs and warranty options. Mr. McKlarney explained that the debt service on the proposed public safety building is approximately \$250,000 per year; the debt service on the proposed radio system would be \$150,000; and then approximately an additional \$60,000 to \$80,000 a year in warranty costs. Ms. Hobbs stated that she did not want to pass these costs onto the taxpayers. Mr. McKlarney related that grant sources could be explored for the radio system and Mr. Thomas offered to assist in applying for grants. Ms. Hobbs asked if the offered incentives could be carried over to January. Mr. Thomas advised that he could not guarantee anything.

## **EXECUTIVE SESSION**



**Mr. McCoy moved to go into Executive Session as permitted by Virginia Code 2.2-3711, A(1) personnel, and A(30) contracts. Second by Mr. Baker. Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. Williams, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Mr. Dunn.**

**Mr. McCoy moved to certify that in the closed session just concluded, the Board discussed nothing except the matters specifically identified in the motion to convene and lawfully permitted to be discussed under the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act cited in that motion. Second by Mr. Baker. Approved unanimously by roll call vote – Voting YES: Mr. Williams, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Mr. Dunn.**

#### **DECEMBER RECESS MEETING**

**Mr. McCoy moved to have the December 18, 2014 recess meeting at 5:00 p.m. if needed. Second Mr. Baker. Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. Williams, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Mr. Dunn.**

#### **PAYMENT OF WARRANTS**

**Mr. McCoy moved to appropriate funds in the amount of \$795,655.94 for payment of warrants as presented. Second by Mr. Baker.**

Mr. Williams expressed concerns over Verizon cell phone costs.

**Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. Williams, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Mr. Dunn.**

#### **SCHOOL BOARD APPROPRIATION**

**Mr. McCoy moved to appropriate funds in the amount of \$2,160,084 for the school board for January, 2015. Second by Mr. Baker. Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. Williams, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Mr. Dunn.**

#### **SOCIAL SERVICES APPROPRIATION**

**Mr. McCoy moved to appropriate funds in the amount of \$175,000 for Social Services and \$110,000 for CSA for January, 2015. Second by Mr. Baker. Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. Williams, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Mr. Dunn.**

#### **EXTENSION OFFICE TRANSFER REQUEST**

Ms. Rhonda Tickle presented a request for transfer for Virginia Extension Office. She explained that there budget had been reduced by \$7,239 leaving items unpaid. The items are \$2,693 for Master Gardener Coordinator, \$1,046 for Social Security benefits for Master Gardner Coordinator, and \$3,500 for AmeriCrop VISTA Volunteer Position. Ms. Hobbs advised Ms. Tickle to hold these funds.

#### **BUDGET SUPPLEMENTS**

Ms. Tickle presented a request for supplements. Ms. Hobbs asked for further explanation on the flood insurance. Ms. Tickle explained that enough had not been budgeted due to the increase according to the federal guidelines. She stated that the County had received a credit so there will be offsetting credit return for the requested increase. Mr. Williams asked if flood insurance was paid on the old social services building, and if so does it end since the Town of Pembroke had taken position. Mr. McKlarney advised that it will be removed. Ms. Hobbs requested further information the PSA administration fees. Ms. Tickle explained that it is fees that go back into the Treasurer's budget for collection fees. Ms. Tickle advised that all items have offsetting revenue.

**Mr. McCoy moved to approve \$24,505.19 in supplements. Second by Mr. Baker. Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. Williams, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Mr. Dunn.**

A copy of the supplements is included at the end of these minutes.

Ms. Tickle requested approval to process reimbursements as needed. She advised that she will be signing off on them and ensuring proper compliance.

**Mr. Williams moved to allow Ms. Rhonda Tickle to process reimbursements as needed. Second by Mr. Baker. Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. Williams, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Mr. Dunn.**

Ms. Hobbs asked if it was necessary to process payroll early in case the recess meeting is not held. Mr. McKlarney stated that this had been done in the past.

**Mr. Baker moved to process payroll for December 23, 2014. Second Mr. McCoy. Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. Williams, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Mr. Dunn.**

#### **LAND USE DEADLINE**

Mr. McCoy expressed concern with the land use deadline of December 15<sup>th</sup>. He stated that it is a short period of time from when reassessment information is received.

**Mr. Williams moved to extend the land use deadline to January 5, 2015. Second Mr. McCoy. Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. Williams, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Mr. Dunn.**

#### **ANNOUNCEMENTS**

Mr. Williams reported that Ronnie Martin, the Executive Director of the New River/Mount Rogers Workforce Investment Area Consortium Board would be retiring January 31, 2015. He stated that Mr. Martin's assistant, Marty Holiday will be his replacement. He related that grant money had been awarded for a program through the workforce that assists inmates in the Regional Jail in obtaining employment once released. Mr. Williams asked Mr. Chidester to follow-up with the jail.

With no further business introduced, Ms. Hobbs adjourned the meeting.

APPROVED:

\_\_\_\_\_

Chair

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_

Clerk

### FY 2016 REVENUE SHARING FUNDS RESOLUTION

At a regularly scheduled meeting of the Giles County Board of Supervisors held on December 10, 2014, on a motion by L. Jay Williams, seconded by Richard McCoy, the following resolution was adopted by a vote of 5-0:

WHEREAS, The Revenue Sharing Program allows the Virginia Department of Transportation to provide state funds to match local funds for construction, maintenance, or improvements secondary highways; and,

WHEREAS, The Giles County Board of Supervisors desires to submit an application for an allocation of funds up to \$99,000 through the Virginia Department of Transportation Fiscal Year 2015-2016 Revenue Sharing Program to be matched on a dollar-for-dollar basis by Giles County; and

WHEREAS, The \$99,000 in state funds to be matched with the \$99,000 provided locally is to fund the following projects:

1. Reconstruction (new construction) and surface treatment of Springdale Road (SR 654) in a 0.89 mile section from 4.21 miles south of SR 622 to the end of state maintenance in the amount of \$66,000 in state funds and \$66,000 in local funds.
2. Bridge replacement on Penvir Road (SR 673) in a 0.89 mile section from 0.1 miles north of SR 61 in the amount of \$33,000 in state funds and \$33,00 in local funds. This project is State Project Number 0673-035-564, P101, R201, B633.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED: That the Board of Supervisor of the County of Giles, Virginia hereby authorizes the filing of an application through the Virginia Department of Transportation Revenue Sharing Program to be matched with local funds for the above construction projects and authorizes the County Administrator to execute all documents on behalf of the Board of Supervisors, in order to make applications for funding through the VDOT Revenue Sharing Program.

The vote on the forgoing resolution was as follows:

	voting for	voting against	abstain	absent
Hobbs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Dunn	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Baker	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
McCoy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Williams	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

ATTEST:   
 Chris McKlarny  
 County Administrator

**RESOLUTION OPPOSING ROUTE OF MOUNTAIN VALLEY GAS PIPELINE**

WHEREAS the Board of Supervisors of Giles County, Virginia, has received and reviewed information regarding the proposed construction of the Mountain Valley gas pipeline that is proposed to pass through Giles County, Virginia, and

WHEREAS the Board has duly considered the presentation of information made by representatives of Mountain Valley Pipeline, LLC and received public comments regarding the proposed construction of such gas pipeline, and

WHEREAS the County lacks regulatory authority to approve or deny the permitting or location of such pipeline, such authority being vested in the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, and

WHEREAS the current proposed route of such pipeline has the following impacts upon Giles County, to wit:

- a. Directly impacts approximately 150 privately owned parcels of real property.
- b. Passes within 1.5 miles of the Cascades Day Use Area, which is visited by more than 100,000 people annually and crosses the public road which provides the only means of public access to the Cascades.
- c. Passes within one half (1/2) mile of two historic covered bridges in the Newport area.
- d. Bisects the Greater Newport Historic District and will pass through or in close proximity to the Newport Park and walking trail.
- e. Passes within one half (1/2) mile of Eastern Elementary Middle School.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Giles County Board of Supervisors does hereby express its opposition to the currently proposed route of the Mountain Valley Gas Pipeline.

Adopted at a regular meeting of the Giles County Board of Supervisors held on December 10, 2014.

	voting for	voting against	abstain	absent
Hobbs	✓	_____	_____	_____
Williams	✓	_____	_____	_____
Baker	✓	_____	_____	_____
Dunn	✓	_____	_____	_____
McCoy	✓	_____	_____	_____

Attest:   
Christopher P. McKlarney, Clerk

GILES COUNTY FY15 BUDGET CHANGES  
DECEMBER 10, 2014

ITEM	TYPE	AMOUNT	REVENUE CODE	EXPENSE CODE
DRUG TASK FORCE	SUPPLEMENT	\$428.44	100-3-18990-0099	100-4-31200-6020
PLANNING	SUPPLEMENT	\$3,200.00	100-3-19020-0099	100-4-81600-3199
EXTENSION OFF. VISTA VOL	TRANSFER	\$3,500.00	NONE	100-4-83400-3193
EXTENSION OFF. MASTER GARDENER	TRANSFER	\$2,693.00	NONE	100-4-83400-3194
BENEFITS MASTER GARDENER	TRANSFER	\$1,046.00	NONE	100-4-83400-3194
FLOOD INSURANCE	SUPPLEMENT	\$601.00	100-3-19020-0099	310-4-81700-5303
BALLGAME SECURITY	SUPPLEMENT	\$2,145.79	100-3-19020-0099	100-4-31200-1600
DMV STOPS	SUPPLEMENT	\$10,405.72	100-3-18050-0002	100-4-12410-3161
JUDICIAL SALES	SUPPLEMENT	\$2,001.51	100-3-18050-0003	100-4-12410-3162
PSA ADMINISTRATION FEES	SUPPLEMENT	\$5,722.73	100-3-18050-0001	100-4-12412-3163
TOTAL SUPPLEMENTS/TRANSFERS		\$31,744.19		